



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,546	06/27/2003	Yohei Mackawa	116210	7424
25944 7590 12/03/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850				
EXAMINER				
CHANG, JULIAN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2452				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/03/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/607,546

**Applicant(s)**

MAEKAWA ET AL.

**Examiner**

JULIAN CHANG

**Art Unit**

2452

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 October 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 69-117 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 69-117 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE-US)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is responsive to communication filed on 10/16/08.

Claims 69-117 are pending, and have been examined.

***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/16/08 has been entered.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 90 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
5. Claim 90 includes the limitation "wherein said at least one device has a storage that stores that said at least one device is selected by said selecting module of said printer". This limitation makes no sense. Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 69-71, 73-76, 85-88, 90-92, 101, 114, 116 and 117 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 2002/0029256 ("Zintel"), and further in view of Kanter ("An Open Service Architecture for Adaptive Personal Mobile Communication, IEEE 2001).

8. Zintel was cited in a prior Office action.

9. Regarding claim 69, Zintel teaches an information output system including a plurality of electronic devices and a control point,

said control point comprising:

a detecting module that detects said plurality of electronic devices connected to said network system (Fig. 11, DISCOVERY CLIENT; para. [0096]);

a selecting module operable by a user to select at least one device from among said plurality of electronic devices (Fig. 11, VISUAL NAVIGATION; para. [0098]; Table following para. [0133]); and

a UPnP command transmitting module that transmits a predetermined command of a UPnP protocol (para. [0619]; In claim 75, applicant discloses that the predetermined command includes a Description command of the UPnP protocol. There is no such "command". The UPnP protocol retrieves a Description Document via HTTP GET.) for requesting said at least one device selected by the selecting module to transmit link information (para. [0617]) when a predetermined condition is satisfied in said at least one device (In Claim 70, applicant discloses that this predetermined condition is satisfied when the device is selected.);

each of said plurality of electronic devices comprising:

a link information storage that stores link information indicative of a location of data to be output (Fig. 11, DESCRIPTION DOCUMENT; para. [0617]); and

a link information transmitting module that transmits the link information in response to the predetermined command of the UPnP protocol transmitted by the control point (paras [0617], [0619]);

said control point further comprising:

a link information receiving module that receives the link information from said at least one device selected by said selecting module (paras [0617], [0619]); and

an outputting module that obtains and outputs the data to be output in accordance with the link information received by said link information receiving module (para. [0099], [0100]).

Zintel fail to teach that a control point is a printer. Kanter teaches that a UPnP control point may be co-located with the resource it represents (e.g., a printer) (p. 12 right side: UPnP and JINI). In other words, the control point is located in the printer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention to co-locate a control point in a printer in order to allow a printer to control other UPnP devices.

10. Regarding claim 70, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, including that a predetermined condition for each of said plurality of electronic devices is satisfied when it is selected with said selecting module of said printer (Zintel: Fig. 11, VISUAL NAVIGATION; para. [0098]; 'selected device', Table following para. [0133]).

11. Regarding claim 71, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, including that link information includes a plurality of links corresponding to a plurality of pieces of data to be output, respectively (Zintel: para. [0617]).

12. Regarding claim 73, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 71 above, including that a link information

Art Unit: 2452

transmitting module of each electronic device transmits a plurality of links and a plurality of pieces of service information in relation with the plurality of links (Zintel: 'as well as URLs for control...', para. [0617]), the plurality of pieces of the service information corresponding to a plurality of services provided by each electronic device, respectively (Zintel: 'list of any embedded devices or services', para. [0617]).

13. Regarding claim 74, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 71 above, including that the link information transmitting module of each electronic device transmits a plurality of links (Zintel: 'controlURL', 'eventSubURL', 'presentationURL', 'manufacturerURL', listing between paragraphs [0623] and [0624]) and a plurality of general descriptions in relation with the plurality of links, the plurality of general description describing a plurality of functions provided by each electronic device, respectively (Zintel: 'Service Type identifier', paras [0079], [0080], [0697]-[0700]).

14. Regarding claim 75, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, including that a predetermined command includes a Description command of said UPnP protocol (Zintel: para. [0619]).

15. Regarding claim 76, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, including that a detecting module

Art Unit: 2452

outputs a searching signal through the network system (Zintel: para. [0096]), said plurality of electronic devices being detected in accordance with reply signals which are output by said plurality of electronic devices in response to the searching signals, respectively (Zintel: para. [0095]).

16. Regarding claim 85, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, including that: link information includes at least one URL, and data to be output includes WEB page data (Zintel: 'URLs to vendor-specific Web sites', para. [0010]).

17. Regarding claim 86, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, including that the location where the data to be output is inside each of said plurality of electronic devices (Zintel: Fig. 4, DESCRIPTION DOCUMENT in CLOCK).

18. Regarding claim 87, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, including that the location where the data to be output is in a predetermined device connected to the network system (Zintel: Fig. 3, DESCRIPTION DOCUMENT in ROOT DEVICE; para. [0072]).

19. Regarding claim 88, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 87 above, including that the data to be output is shared by said plurality of electronic devices (Zintel: Fig. 3, DESCRIPTION



Art Unit: 2452

DOCUMENT in ROOT DEVICE shared by several DEVICES nested in the ROOT DEVICE).

20. Regarding claim 90, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, including transmitting a predetermined signal to at least one device selected by said selecting module (Zintel: 'selected device', Table following para. [0133]; para. [0617]), and wherein said at least one device has a storage that stores that said at least one device is selected by said selecting module of said printer (Zintel: Fig. 11, DESCRIPTION DOCUMENT; para. [0617]), said link information transmitting module of said at least one device transmitting the link information only when selected by a selecting module (Zintel: paras [0617], [0619]).

21. Regarding claim 91, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 90 above, including a plurality of printers (Zintel: para. [0053]), and wherein said at least one device transmits the link information only to the printers of which said selecting module selects said at least one device (Zintel: para. [0619]; also para. [0608]).

22. Regarding claims 92 and 101, Zintel teaches a method, and a recording medium containing a program implementing said method, said method comprising:

Art Unit: 2452

detecting the plurality of electronic devices connected to the network system by communication through the network system (para. [0089]);

selecting at least one device from among the plurality of electronic devices (para. [0098]; Table following para. [0133]);

transmitting link information indicative of a location of data to be output in response to a predetermined command of a UPnP protocol from a control point (paras [0617], [0619]), the predetermined command requesting said at least one device to transmit link information when a predetermined condition is satisfied (In Claim 70, applicant discloses that this predetermined condition is satisfied when the device is selected.);

obtaining the data to be output in accordance with the link information (para. [0099], [0100]); and

outputting the data to be output (para. [0099], [0100]).

Zintel fail to teach that a control point is a printer. Kanter teaches that a UPnP control point may be co-located with the resource it represents (e.g., a printer) (p. 12 right side). In other words, the control point is located in the printer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention to co-locate a control point in a printer in order to allow a printer to control other UPnP devices.

23. Regarding claim 114, Zintel teaches a control point comprising:

a detecting module that detects said plurality of electronic devices connected to said network system (Fig. 11, DISCOVERY CLIENT; para. [0096]);

a selecting module operable by a user to select at least one electronic device from among said plurality of electronic devices (Fig. 11, VISUAL NAVIGATION; para. [0098]; Table following para. [0133]), each of said plurality of electronic devices being configured to output link information when selected by said selecting module (para. [0616]-[0619]);

a UPnP command transmitting module that transmits a predetermined command of a UPnP protocol for requesting said at least one device selected by the selecting module to transmit link information (para. [0617], [0619]),

a link information receiving module that receives the link information from said at least one electronic device selected by said selecting module (paras [0617], [0619]); and

an outputting module that obtains and outputs the data to be output in accordance with the link information received by said link information receiving module (para. [0099], [0100]).

Zintel fail to teach that a control point is a printer. Kanter teaches that a UPnP control point may be co-located with the resource it represents (e.g., a printer) (p. 12 right side: UPnP and JINI). In other words, the control point is located in the printer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention to co-locate a control point in a printer in order to allow a printer to control other UPnP devices.

24. Regarding claim 116, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 114 above, including that a detecting module

Art Unit: 2452

outputs a searching signal through the network system (Zintel: para. [0096]), said plurality of electronic devices being detected in accordance with reply signals which are output by said plurality of electronic devices in response to the searching signals, respectively (Zintel: para. [0095]).

25. Regarding claim 117, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, including that:

a detecting module detects said plurality of electronic devices by transmitting a Discovery command of the UPnP protocol (Zintel: para. [0096]), and

a link information transmitting module of each of said plurality of electronic device that transmits the link information in response to the Discovery command transmitted by the printer (Zintel: para. [0094]).

26. Claims 77-82, 89 and 93-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zintel-Kanter as applied to claims 69 and 92 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,167,448 ("Hemphill").

27. Hemphill was cited in a prior Office action.

28. Regarding claims 77 and 78, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, including sending event information when a predetermined condition that relates to an operation

Art Unit: 2452

states of each of said plurality of electronic devices is satisfied (Zintel: 'generates an event if the SST changes', para. [0103]).

Zintel-Kanter fails to teach that the event information contains link information. Hemphill teaches event notifications that contains event related information such as a URL for obtaining more information files in the network at provides further information about the event (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include a URL in an event notification as taught by Hemphill in order to allow a user to retrieve more information about the event.

29. Regarding claim 79, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 78 above, including that predetermined condition includes at least one of: (a) the electronic device being in an error state; (b) a consumable member of each electronic device being less than a predetermined amount; and (c) a replacement member of each electronic device being required to be replaced (Hemphill: Col. 5, lines 40-60).

30. Regarding claim 80, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 79 above, including that data to be output contains a method of coping with the predetermined condition (Hemphill: Col. 8, lines 27-65, in particular lines 46-49).

Art Unit: 2452

31. Regarding claim 81, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, including each electronic device transmits the event information using a Notify command of the UPnP protocol (Zintel: para. [0106]).

Zintel-Kanter fails to teach that the event information contains link information. Hemphill teaches event notifications that contains event related information such as a URL for obtaining more information files in the network at provides further information about the event (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include a URL in an event notification as taught by Hemphill in order to allow a user to retrieve more information about the event.

32. Regarding claim 82, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 81 above, including that each of said plurality of electronic devices is detected in accordance with the SSDP of a UPnP (Zintel: para. [0089]).

33. Regarding claim 89, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, but fails to teach that the data to be output is varied in accordance with the status of each electronic device.

Hemphill teaches event notifications that contains event related information such as a URL for obtaining more information files in the network at provides further information about the event (abstract). Since the URL is related

Art Unit: 2452

to the event, the URL returned would depend on the state of the electronic device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include a URL in an event notification as taught by Hemphill in order to allow a user to retrieve more information about the event.

34. Regarding claims 93 and 98, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 92 above, including sending event information when a predetermined condition that relates to an operation states of each of said plurality of electronic devices is satisfied (Zintel: 'generates an event if the SST changes', para. [0103]).

Zintel-Kanter fails to teach that the event information contains link information. Hemphill teaches event notifications that contains event related information such as a URL for obtaining more information files in the network at provides further information about the event (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include a URL in an event notification as taught by Hemphill in order to allow a user to retrieve more information about the event.

35. Regarding claim 94, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 93 above, including that: link information includes at least one URL, and data to be output includes WEB page data (Zintel: 'URLs to vendor-specific Web sites', para. [0010]).

Art Unit: 2452

36. Regarding claim 95, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 93 above, including that the location where the data to be output is inside each of said plurality of electronic devices (Zintel: Fig. 4, DESCRIPTION DOCUMENT in CLOCK).

37. Regarding claim 96, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 93 above, including that the location where the data to be output is in a predetermined device connected to the network system (Zintel: Fig. 3, DESCRIPTION DOCUMENT in ROOT DEVICE; para. [0072]).

38. Regarding claim 97, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 96 above, including that the data to be output is shared by said plurality of electronic devices (Zintel: Fig. 3, DESCRIPTION DOCUMENT in ROOT DEVICE shared by several DEVICES nested in the ROOT DEVICE).

39. Regarding claim 99, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 98 above, including that data to be output contains a method of coping with the predetermined condition (Hemphill: Col. 8, lines 27-65, in particular lines 46-49).



Art Unit: 2452

40. Regarding claim 100, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 93 above, including that a condition includes a request for the link information (Zintel: para. [0617]; [0619]).

41. Claims 72, 83, 84 and 115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zintel-Kanter as applied to claim 71, 69 and 114 above, and further in view of U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0048470 ("Garcia").

42. Regarding claim 72, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 71 above, but fails to teach a printer that includes: a display module that displays the plurality of links included in said link information received by said link information receiving module; and a link selecting module that selects one of the plurality of links displayed by said display module.

Garcia teaches a printer including a display module that displays the plurality of links included in said link information received by said link information receiving module (para. [0014]; claim 2); and a link selecting module that selects one of the plurality of links displayed by said display module (para. [0033]; claim 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include a display module and a selecting module as taught by Garcia in order to allow a user to access the Internet via a web browser using the printer.

Art Unit: 2452

43. Regarding claim 83, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, but fails to teach that an outputting module includes a printer that prints out the data to be output on recording medium.

Garcia teaches a printer capable of printing the content of web pages on the World Wide Web via a web browser resident on the printer (para. [0017]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to retrieve and print a web page at a printer as taught by Garcia in order to print web pages at the printer without walking back to a remote computer.

44. Regarding claim 84, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, but fails to teach that an outputting module includes an e-mail transmitting module that generates an e-mail message having contents of the data to be output and transmits the e-mail message to at least a predetermined address.

Garcia teaches including accessing a web page and sending the web page to an email address (para. [0029]; claim 19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to email content from the WWW via email from a printer as taught by Garcia in order to allow a user to email documents without a computer.

Art Unit: 2452

45. Regarding claim 115, Zintel-Kanter teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 114 above, but fails to teach a printer that includes: a display module that displays the plurality of links included in said link information received by said link information receiving module; and a link selecting module that selects one of the plurality of links displayed by said display module.

Garcia teaches a printer including a display module that displays the plurality of links included in said link information received by said link information receiving module (para. [0014]; claim 2); and a link selecting module that selects one of the plurality of links displayed by said display module (para. [0033]; claim 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include a display module and a selecting module as taught by Garcia in order to allow a user to access the Internet via a web browser using the printer.

46. Claims 102-106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zintel, and further in view of Kanter, and Garcia.

47. Regarding claims 102, Zintel teaches a device comprising:  
a link information storage that stores link information indicative of a location of data to be output (Fig. 11, DESCRIPTION DOCUMENT; para. [0617]);  
and

a link information transmitting module that transmits the link information to a control point in response to a predetermined command of a UPnP protocol requesting for the link information transmitted from a control point (paras [0617], [0619]),

the control point obtaining and outputting the data to be output in accordance with the link information transmitted from said link information transmitting module (para. [0099], [0100]).

Zintel fail to teach that a control point is a printer. Kanter teaches that a UPnP control point may be co-located with the resource it represents (e.g., a printer) (p. 12 right side). In other words, the control point is located in the printer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention to co-locate a control point in a printer in order to allow a printer to control other UPnP devices.

Zintel-Kanter fails to teach the printer printing out the output on a recording medium. Garcia teaches a printer capable of printing the content of web pages on the World Wide Web via a web browser resident on the printer (para. [0017]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to retrieve and print a web page at a printer as taught by Garcia in order to print web pages at the printer without walking back to a remote computer.

48. Regarding claim 103, Zintel-Kanter-Garcia teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 102 above, including that link

Art Unit: 2452

information includes a plurality of links corresponding to a plurality of pieces of data to be output, respectively (Zintel: para. [0617]).

49. Regarding claim 104, Zintel-Kanter-Garcia teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 103 above, including that a link information transmitting module of each electronic device transmits a plurality of links and a plurality of pieces of service information in relation with the plurality of links (Zintel: 'as well as URLs for control...', para. [0617]), the plurality of pieces of the service information corresponding to a plurality of services provided by each electronic device, respectively (Zintel: 'list of any embedded devices or services', para. [0617]).

50. Regarding claim 105, Zintel-Kanter-Garcia teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 103 above, including that the link information transmitting module of each electronic device transmits a plurality of links (Zintel: 'controlURL', 'eventSubURL', 'presentationURL', 'manufacturerURL', listing between paragraphs [0623] and [0624]) and a plurality of general descriptions in relation with the plurality of links, the plurality of general description describing a plurality of functions provided by each electronic device, respectively (Zintel: 'Service Type identifier', paras [0079], [0080], [0697]-[0700]).

51. Regarding claim 106, Zintel-Kanter-Garcia teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 102 above, including that a

Art Unit: 2452

predetermined command includes a Description command of said UPnP protocol (Zintel: para. [0619]).

52. Claims 107-113 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zintel, and further in view of Kanter, Hemphill, and Garcia.

53. Regarding claims 107 and 108, Zintel teaches a device comprising:  
a link information storage that stores link information indicative of a location of data to be output (Fig. 11, DESCRIPTION DOCUMENT; para. [0617]);  
and

a link information transmitting module that transmits event information to a control point in response to a predetermined command of a UPnP protocol received from the control point (para. [0107]), the predetermined command requesting said electronic device to transmit link information when an operation state of said electronic device satisfies a predetermined condition ('generates an event if the SST changes', para. [0103]).

Zintel fail to teach that a control point is a printer. Kanter teaches that a UPnP control point may be co-located with the resource it represents (e.g., a printer) (p. 12 right side: UPnP and JINI). In other words, the control point is located in the printer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention to co-locate a control point in a printer in order to allow a printer to control other UPnP devices.

Zintel-Kanter fails to teach that the event information contains link information. Hemphill teaches event notifications that contains event related information such as a URL for obtaining more information files in the network at provides further information about the event (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include a URL in an event notification as taught by Hemphill in order to allow a user to retrieve more information about the event.

Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill fails to teach that the control point obtains and outputs the data to be output in accordance with the link information transmitted from said link information transmitting module (para. [0099], [0100]). Garcia teaches a printer capable of printing the content of web pages on the World Wide Web via a web browser resident on the printer (para. [0017]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to retrieve and print a web page at a printer as taught by Garcia in order to print web pages at the printer without walking back to a remote computer.

54. Regarding claim 109, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill-Garcia teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 108 above, including that predetermined condition includes at least one of: (a) the electronic device being in an error state; (b) a consumable member of each electronic device being less than a predetermined amount; and (c) a replacement member of each electronic device being required to be replaced (Hemphill: Col. 5, lines 40-60).

Art Unit: 2452

55. Regarding claim 110, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill-Garcia teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 109 above, including that data to be output contains a method of coping with the predetermined condition (Hemphill: Col. 8, lines 27-65, in particular lines 46-49).

56. Regarding claim 111, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill-Garcia teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 110 above, including that each of said plurality of electronic devices is detected in accordance with the SSDP of a UPnP (Zintel: para. [0089]).

57. Regarding claim 112, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill-Garcia teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 107 above, including that an outputting module includes a printer that prints out the data to be output on recording medium (Garcia: para. [0017]).

58. Regarding claim 113, Zintel-Kanter-Hemphill-Garcia teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 107 above, including that an outputting module includes an e-mail transmitting module that generates an e-mail message having contents of the data to be output and transmits the e-mail message to at least a predetermined address (Garcia: para. [0029]; claim 19).



***Response to Arguments***

59. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 69-117 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

60. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0101294
- b. U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0027569
- c. U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0113987

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JULIAN CHANG whose telephone number is (571)272-8631. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2452

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 2452

/Kenny S Lin/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2452